

CASE NAME: G. Mangers Vs The County Of Santa Clara, Et Al	CASE NUMBER: 1-08-CV-109152
--	--------------------------------

7. The pleading has not yet been filed and served on the parties listed in item 6 for the following reasons *(describe the efforts that have been made to serve the pleading and why service has not been completed)*:

Continued on Attachment 7.

8. An extension of time to serve and file the pleading should be granted for the following reasons:

I'd like to have my "day in court". I'd like some answers.
 To unanswered questions raising ever more unanswered ones.

Continued on Attachment 8.

9. If an extension of time is granted, filing and service on the parties listed in item 6 will be completed by *(date)*:

I'd ask Sheriff Laurie Smith for the answer to this question.
 I'm still struggling myself to know the answer to it.

10. Notice of this application under rules 3.1200–3.1207 has been provided as required *(describe all parties or counsel to whom notice was given; the date, time, and manner of giving notice; what the parties or counsel were told and their responses; and whether opposition is expected)* or is not required *(state reasons)*:

Continued on Attachment 10.

11. Number of pages attached: 3

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: March 9, 2009

Geoffrey Mangers

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF APPLICANT OR ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT)



(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT)

Order on Application is below on a separate document.

ORDER

- The application for an order extending time to serve and file the pleading is granted denied.
- The pleading must be served and filed no later than *(date)*:
- The case management conference is rescheduled to:
 - Date:
 - Time:
 - Place:
- Other orders:
- A copy of this application and order must be served on all parties or their counsel that have appeared in the case.

Date:

 JUDICIAL OFFICER

SHORT TITLE:

G. Mangers Vs The County Of Santa Clara, Et Al

CASE NUMBER:

1-08-CV-109152

ATTACHMENT (Number): 7, 8, 9 and 10 Page 1 of 3

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.) (Add pages as required)

Attachments 7, 8, 9 and 10

I have to combine the answers to these 4 questions because I don't have time to answer it any other way at the moment.

"describe the efforts that have been made to serve the pleading and why service has not been completed"

Feb 3, 2009 -- morning: drive over, park in garage walk over to 70 W. Hedding. Arrive 'late', 9:36am, doors locked, no BOS meeting I gather talking to woman behind information desk. Wrong day. Can't give my speech. About to leave when realise should visit County Clerk's office (remembering is on the 10th floor) while I'm there. Learned meeting is next week. And only get 1 minute for speech despite what sign downstairs outside locked meeting room: 3 minutes. Go back downstairs, then remember County Counsel is on 9th floor. Go back up, sign in, talk to person behind widow and explain I need to talk to them. Ask spelling of persons name who'll be coming out. Allmand, Jennifer. Woman comes out. No visible photo ID displayed. Does not offer me her card. And none available in lobby. I ask for her card. Goes back behind locked door, comes back, gives me card that says Jennifer Allmand, Supervising Paralegal on it. Tells me I need to serve them first. I try to explain to her about the CM-020 (request for extension of time, item #10), need to inform County Counsel of the CMC date. That this is a request for permission for an extension of time, explaining I can't afford a process server anyway or have found anyone yet willing to mail it. Conversation goes nowhere. Take elevator back down. Then later realised I should have entered the case#, CMC date etc. in their logbook so they have a record of it. Go back up and do so with my fine point black pen so I can fit it all in. Witnessed by (ask for names for this time explaining their first names will suffice if they wish) Rudy and Linda--sorting mail. Both behind window, Jennifer now is in booth, chasing me away, saying they have work to do. I like Linda, told me her name directly without any sign of evasion whatever.

Go back down elevator. Now leaving. Talk to woman behind information desk who doesn't understand, trying to figure out how to explain it to her -- *"80% of all litigants are unrepresented, why?"* I ask. And to her answer ask: *"Is that justice?"*. **"NO!"** she tells me. *"That's my case"* I told her. And I'm feeling good (thanks).

Next stop, Zoe's ofc. see if they got my message. Sandra Soto comes out. Remembers me from 4 years ago. Fill out request form.

Next stop: Superior Court: pick up the 6 copies of my suit. Head back to Zoe's ofc. But overshoot, unfamiliar with First St., and find myself back at county center, notice Sheriff's Office on Younger for the first time, figure a good idea to stop in and drop off some copies of my suit for mailing. Park, go in, sit down, realise need to take number from dispenser: "71", wait to be called, talk to unknown woman behind window, directs me to phone on right wall where she tells me to go to other window behind me in rear. At which another woman tells me to give them my driver's license. Drop it under window area. Given visitor badge. Door is unlocked. Told to take elevator to second floor. Huge office. Lights off (energy saving?) Totally abandoned. Except for 'Marian' sitting behind the counter, constantly pointlessly flipping though papers ("fanning" them like a deck of cards, perhaps trying to convince me she's doing some sort of productive work) telling me they don't have time to flip through mine. Tells me she doesn't know any "Sgt. Rodriguez" (talked to him last year, 5/6/09, sounded healthy to me, hope he's still there). Should try their new building I comment to her, tells me they'll only take my papers here, but refuses to or even look at them and tell me what the problem is or what is missing or why she won't take them. While two women (background right) idly talk quietly with each other totally ignoring me.

I ask to see some people with badges*. Hoping they've been sworn in but realise it's probably not wise to ask them that after they come up and escort me out with my papers. Ask in the elevator going down, if I can leave my papers with them for safekeeping. Told they have no ability to do that. I comment to them about somewhere where one can feel safe. Glimpse badge (uniformed woman) behind window returning my license: ~"Sutherland".

Why do they need to keep my driver's license while I'm locked in there? The whole place gives me the creeps.

*: remembering Rick Turini who returned my call on 6/11/08 telling me that yes, they can do the mailing for me. 'Marian' asked me for his name but I couldn't remember it at the time. Hope he's still there too.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

SHORT TITLE:

G. Mangers Vs The County Of Santa Clara, Et Al

CASE NUMBER:

1-08-CV-109152

ATTACHMENT (Number): 7, 8, 9, and 10 Page 2 of 3

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.) (Add pages as required)

"has not yet been filed and served .. for the following reasons"

I could use some "confidence building". To explain, I have to go back to May of 2007, just after I filed my first series of lawsuits (at what I thought at the time was) the last day I could. And told I had to put down a number. "Why?" I asked explaining it says "according to proof". No, I was told again, I had to put down a number. So I did. And asked for his first name so I could say to him as I departed: *"It was nice to meet you Art"*.

A few days later..

May of 2007:

Driving Northbound 280 exiting to Wolfe Ave. I noticed behind me a cream colored car, "Bently-ish" (i.e. of some value, I'd say). I went back onto the highway. It follows. Back to the exit ramp. It follows. At the last minute I jumped back onto the highway, losing it.

Only to shortly later (now off the highway in a nearby neighborhood) find another "Bently-ish" car behind me. This one is black. I make a complete u-turn at a 4-way stop. In my rear view mirror I see this car make a right turn. And stop. Proceeding no further. I did the best I could with a random path thereafter. Hoping to see no more of this.

A year and a half later..

February of 2009 (last month)

Feb 3: after leaving 70 W. Hedding that morning and heading for Zoe Lofgren's office. Which is easy to overshoot (and I did) on First St, not knowing the corner street to exit on. Requiring me to make 3 or 4 u-turns. The last 2 of which I noticed a black "Bently-ish" car behind me. That made a right turn just before I had to make my last u-turn.

"describe the efforts that have been made to serve the pleading and why service has not been completed"

Feb 10: gave my speech to the Board of Supervisors, serving them all publicly with it: case#, CMC date, Dept. etc. And asking for organisation charts with un-ambiguous lines of supervision with all their full names. Preferably certified by their original custodian. And to know who will join me under Section 382. I think it was Lori Pegg who surprised me quietly showing up on my right asking me what my issue was. So I gave her a copy of the suit. And I have as yet to hear from the County of Santa Clara as to whether they intend to show up this Tuesday or not. Or who, if any, might be willing to join me under Section 382.

Feb 16 (just a week after I gave notice publicly at the Board of Supervisors meeting):

I didn't know the post-office was closed on President's Day when I went out with a copy of my lawsuit to find out what it would cost to send (since the Sheriff wouldn't). So I walked over to the Postal Annex to ask, explaining it was a lawsuit, asking what their notary would charge etc to mail it. All totalled, a bit less than \$30 I gathered. Then proceeded on to Almaden Expressway (from Branham, near Orchard Supply Hardware) and not expecting to see a somewhat unusual car in my rear view mirror as I made a right turn into Almaden. This car, a coupe, "chevy-ish", maybe 15 years (or more) in age, looked of far far less value than the others. Dark (maybe dark green). With darkened windows -- *all* of them, including the windshield. So dark, I could see nothing whatever inside this car.

I made a right turn onto Almaden and pulled over as far forward and as close to the curb (and out of the way of traffic) as (and while) I could (in this still very public area) in order to give it ample room and as wide a berth as possible to pass on by. Hoping it would. Into very light traffic. Instead it remained stopped at the corner. And proceeded no further, not even turning into Almaden. For a length of time that would have had cars honking behind it had it waited any longer. Eventually it did pass on by. And I had no interest (or desire) whatever in following it.

Nor do I particularly relish the drive down (or back from) the court tomorrow to file this application. Because I finally got the fax on my system working. But too late to file it in time.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

SHORT TITLE:

G. Mangers Vs The County Of Santa Clara, Et Al

CASE NUMBER:

1-08-CV-109152

ATTACHMENT (Number): 7, 8, 9, and 10

Page 3 of 3

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

(Add pages as required)

"has not yet been filed and served .. for the following reasons"

I could use some "confidence building" regarding all this. And have had to spend a lot of time trying to get my modem working. To fax this in instead. Which I finally can. But too late. And then only to be told that I must have a "dedicated" fax number (I might be able to do this, I'm still working on it).

Anyway, I can't afford to buy the solutions that will help me solve a lot of these problems.

Further, I've had more software problems I've had to solve. Backup problems (failing restores, another security item). And then there's the website I better finish as soon as possible. Because the sooner I finish www.publicforall.com, the safer I'll feel. And I need to finish compiling a list of email addresses. As well as filing about 40 pages more.

"has not yet been filed and served .. for the following reasons"

I have a disability .. "problems with persistence" is part of it. And easily deterred when I meet resistance. Which really goes even further south when my confidence does. "James Bond" I'm not. Which is why I was hoping to be able to fax this application in tomorrow. I could use some confidence building. A *GPS-video-cellphone* would help. So I can transmit live (as well as have a record of) the behavior of these cars (a total of 4 now) behind me in case it ever happens again. I think that just the loan of such a piece of hardware "for the duration" would solve my problem in one quick hurry. Indeed, once I have it, I expect I may not even have to use it at all. Returning it gratefully afterwards. But I can't even afford this minimal level of confidence.

"has not yet been filed and served .. for the following reasons"

I have no money. Even I *could* get someone to go down to the post office and mail it with the POS-010, I can't afford the postage. I've got 5 copies left. And I'm afraid to drive on the roads. Maybe Dolores is too. I don't know.

"We're all waiting on Dolores"

Lee Sturtevant commented to me on the phone just after she took office

"Who will serve the Sheriff?"

Who is "big enough and strong enough" to serve the Sheriff? Dolores Carr*? Jerry Brown? Eric Holder? Now I have to give her another 'ticket'. I'd call it *"obstruction of justice of an unrepresented litigant"*. "malfeasance of office" .. "dereliction of duty" .. I don't know what to call it. Maybe *"being in office too long"*.

"no last names" her office told me.

No business cards, no visible photo ID, no supervisor. Said her name was "Marian". I don't know *who* she was. Why do they need a new building anyway? The whole second floor was abandoned. Like the *Exxon-Valdez* was.

*: Dolores Carr: when I called her office I was told Mary Dimeo was her confidential secretary. Who I was finally able to leave a voicemail for and subsequently emailed Mary asking if she's ever taken an Oath of Office. And responded with an email referring me to County Counsel.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)