

<p>ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Geoffrey Mangers, 4124 Ross Park Dr., San Jose, CA 95118-1761 My website case document filing repository: PUBLICFORALL.COM (sorry late on completing it, am struggling to update it)</p> <p>TELEPHONE NO.: (408) 978-8646 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): geotrey@mangers.org ATTORNEY FOR (Name): in pro per (because I can't afford a lawyer)</p>	<p>FOR COURT USE ONLY</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; width: fit-content; margin: auto;"> <p>Filed: March 2, 2010 stamped on this (front) side</p> </div> <p>CASE NUMBER: 1-08-CV-109152</p>
<p>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: 191 North First St. MAILING ADDRESS: 191 North First St. CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose, CA 95113 BRANCH NAME: Civil</p>	
<p>PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Geoffrey Mangers DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: The County Of Santa Clara, Et Al (correction): Balance of unserved parties in 1-08-CV-109152</p>	
<p>DECLARATION</p>	

Declaration of my Notice to Appeal in Full.

Once again, I'm filing my Notice of Appeal as a Declaration and on a double-sided single page requesting that it be time stamped on THIS side and not on the reverse side. Noting the legal advice Cheryl Stevens in County Counsel gave Lt. Frontella and just in case it might actually be true that the APP-002 is required to be filed before it can be served upon all the parties to be enjoined, in spite of the wording on the second page (and given the possibility that someone, including myself, could be confused) that says:

"When the front and back of this document have been completed and a copy mailed or personally delivered, the original may then be filed with the court."

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: **March 2, 2010**

Geoffrey Mangers
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

- Attorney for Plaintiff Petitioner Defendant
 Respondent Other (Specify):

<p>ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address): Geoffrey Mangers, 4124 Ross Park Dr., San Jose, CA 95118-1761 My website case document filing repository: PUBLICFORALL.COM (sorry late on completing it, am struggling to update it)</p> <p>TELEPHONE NO.: (408) 978-8646 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): geoffrey@mangers.org ATTORNEY FOR (Name): in pro per (because I can't afford a lawyer)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">FOR COURT USE ONLY</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>Filing Correction Note: Below date of 12/4/09 corrected from 12/4/10 on filing.</p> </div>
<p>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Santa Clara</p> <p>STREET ADDRESS: 191 N. First Street MAILING ADDRESS: 191 N. First Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: 95113 BRANCH NAME: Civil</p>	
<p>PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Geoffrey Mangers DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Balance of unserved parties in 108-CV-109152</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOTICE OF APPEAL <input type="checkbox"/> CROSS-APPEAL (UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE)</p>	<p>CASE NUMBER: 108-CV-109152</p>
<p>Notice: Please read <i>Information on Appeal Procedures for Unlimited Civil Cases</i> (Judicial Council form APP-001) before completing this form. This form must be filed in the superior court, not in the Court of Appeal.</p>	

1. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that (name): **Geoffrey Mangers**
appeals from the **2nd Dismissal** in this case, which was entered on (date): **January 6, 2010**

"When are you going to do that?" Judge Cabrinha demanded (10/30/09 -- finally granting my accommodation request)

Notice of Appeal in Full.

This case is Complex and been misdesignated as not.

My argument is that as such it becomes impossible to Appeal. Let alone be able to bring it at all. "How do I serve the rest of my defendants?" I asked Judge Cabrinha who sighed "I don't know" and suggested a settlement. But ADR (and with it the ability to lobby and 'flip' defendants into joining me as plaintiffs) has been denied to me.

"See me"

And I believe in **my** case the court was entirely correct ("*but how?*"). Because there are still complex CCP §§378-382 procedural issues [★] in this case that have as yet to be resolved. This is not a simple tort. I see my role as simply to enjoin all the parties in their own self-interest (e.g. health insurance companies who I have to sue to get their money back for them: "don't feed the problem"). I wasn't planning on doing the "heavy lifting" in all this, nor do I (a novice) have the capacity for it. And am dearly in need of "quick answers to simple questions" (honest ones).

"I think I get excellent legal advice" I told the court refusing to do so.

★: "I don't think anyone who joins me as a plaintiff should have to pay \$320 to file a response" I told Judge Murphy (1/6/09) and I agree with him. I don't understand it either. In fact CCP §379.5 prohibits it. It requires a special summons and I tried to raise it before the court "you have a summons". No, I don't. It doesn't cost me \$320 to reply to a jury summons.

On 12/4/09 Judge Cabrinha read my case website document repository into the record and encouraged me to continue with it:

PUBLICFORALL.COM

"Can I appeal it [the 2nd Dismissal, Jan 6, on the balance of all the unserved parties]?" I asked. I never did get an answer.

Geoffrey Mangers Dated: **March 2, 2010**

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

80% of litigants are unrepresented. Why? Is that Justice? That's my case.
Chief Justice Ronald George has *urged* the Bar to do better on this. Enough with the "urging" already.